

Eastbourne Town Centre Local Plan Submission Version

Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications to the Town Centre Local Plan

Submission Document TCLP02(C)

Date: June 2013 (Revised)

COPYRIGHT

The contents of this document must not be copied or reproduced in whole or in part without the written consent of Eastbourne Borough Council

SCHEDULE OF PROPOSED MAIN MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED SUBMISSION EASTBOURNE TOWN CENTRE LOCAL PLAN

Please note that these proposed modifications should be read in conjunction with the Town Centre Area Action Plan - Submission Version (January 2012) (Submission Document TCLP01/A).

Main Modifications that have already been consulted on are shown in black.

Main Modifications that have already been consulted on, but are now subject to further modification are shown in red. New Modifications that have not been consulted on are shown in blue.

All additions are shown underlined. Deletions are shown struck-through.

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
M1	Reason : to ensure the planning policy context for the TCLP is upto-date.	Paragraph 1.14	Add references to the National Planning Policy Framework and delete reference to the South East Plan, which has now been revoked, to read:
	This Modification has already been consulted on but is subject to a Further Modification.		"The TCLP has been prepared having regard to the planning policy context established at national, regional and local level including the National Planning Policy Framework and specifically the presumption in favour of sustainable development. The broad policy context for the South East Region within which Eastbourne is located is established by the South East Plan. The Coalition Government has signalled its intention to abolish the South East Plan but it currently remains at time of writing it still forms part of the development plan for Eastbourne."
M2	Reason : to ensure consistency between the TCLP and the	Objective 12	Add reference to Objective 12 relating to Policy D9 of the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan to read:

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
	Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan. This Modification has already been consulted on.		"Sustainable development: enhance the sustainable performance of development proposals coming forward within the Town Centre by utilising a balance of resource efficiency measures and improving biodiversity having regard to Policy D9 of the Proposed Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan."
M3	Reason: to strengthen the TCLP policy commitment to protecting existing employment opportunities in the Town Centre. This Modification has not been consulted on.	Objective 7	Add sentence to end of Objective 7 to read: "In particular, the Council will support the retention, refurbishment and extension of existing office and business floorspace and the provision of new high quality office space that will meet the needs of a range of businesses."
M4	Reason: to ensure the planning policy context for the TCLP is upto-date. This Modification has already been consulted on.	Paragraph 2.4.	A new paragraph has been added to the TCLP in relation to the National Planning Policy Framework to read: "When considering development proposals, the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the "presumption in favour of sustainable development" set out in paragraphs 14 and 15 of the National Planning Policy Framework. It will work with applicants to find solutions, that address concerns raised by local residents and community groups, which mean that proposals can be approved wherever possible, and to secure development that improves the social, economic and environmental conditions in the area. Planning applications that accord with policies in this Town

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
			Centre Local Plan will be approved, unless material conditions indicate otherwise."
M5	Reason : to make TCLP Figure 1 more legible.	TCLP Figure 1	Enlarge key.
	This Modification has already been consulted on.		
M6	Reason: to explain the role and function of key structural elements of the spatial framework, why they have been identified as being important, and to provide information on how the Council proposes to take them forward towards implementation. This Modification has already been consulted on.	Paragraph 3.8	Paragraph 3.8 has been expanded to include four additional sentences to read: "The key components of the spatial framework are described on the following pages. Policy TC2 and TC13 identify those key elements of the spatial framework that will be prioritised for enhancement as specific projects through the TCLP period. This is because of the contribution these elements make towards enhancing the legibility, identity, functionality, particularly in terms of pedestrian movement, and the overall appearance of the Town Centre. The TCLP Implementation Framework in Section 6 gives details of how these projects will be brought forward including broad timescales over the TCLP period, project leads and key partners, and potential funding sources. The Council will take a lead role in scoping these projects working with other partners and agencies including land owners, private developers and East Sussex County Council."
M7	Reason: to add further clarity on how the Council proposes to take forward public realm proposals in	Paragraph 3.8	A further paragraph has been added to the TCLP following paragraph 3.8 to read:

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
	the Town Centre.		"In order to implement proposals for the public
			realm the Council will:
	This Modification has not		
	been consulted on.		 produce a detailed Public Realm Strategy for the
			Town Centre in the short term phase of the plan
			period in order to provide design, layout and
			preliminary costings for the identified public
			realm projects in the TCLP, focusing on the
			public realm priorities set out in Policy TC13 but
			also considering the wider public realm areas
			that contribute to the structure of the Town
			Centre set out in Policy TC2;
			• <u>link projects together to maximise opportunities</u>
			to progress work on the public realm, for
			example at the junction of Terminus Road and
			Grove Road where the funded scheme for the
			public transport interchange in Terminus Road
			will help implement initial work on the Grove
			Road and South Street public realm priority area
			through enhancing pedestrian and cycle
			connectivity from the Station into an important
			secondary retail area;
			 as part of LTP3 target funding from surplus on-
			street parking charges in the Town Centre
			towards the funding of public realm projects;
			work with East Sussex County Council
			identifying where the programmed ongoing
			highway maintenance budget could use
			additional funding streams to bring forward
			public realm projects; and
			 negotiate section 106 contributions for the
			public realm from the Development Opportunity
			Sites, and other sites that relate to and adjoin

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
M8	Reason: to explain why the streets and spaces have been identified as being important and to provide information on how the Council proposes to take them forward towards implementation. This Modification has already been consulted on	Paragraph 3.20	indentified public realm projects in the Town Centre. The Council will monitor progress on the delivery of the public realm projects identified in the TCLP and the Public Realm Strategy to re-evaluate priorities, where necessary, or bring forward wider public realm projects as priorities during the plan period." Paragraph 3.20 has been expanded to include two additional final sentences to read: "A number of key streets and spaces have been identified through analysis and as part of the consultation process on Issues and Options. These key streets and spaces make a particular contribution towards enhancing the legibility, identity, functionality, particularly in terms of pedestrian movement, and the overall appearance of the Town Centre and have therefore been
			prioritised. Information on how they will be brought forward for delivery is given in the TCLP Implementation Framework in Section 6."
M9	Reason: It is anticipated that the associated regeneration benefits and public realm improvements that are likely to arise as a result of the planned extension to the Arndale Centre will substantially enhance the nature of the retail	Policy TC4 Primary Retail Areas (PRAs)	Add an additional area to the bullet point of locations within the Primary Retail Area (PRA) as follows: • 46-94 Terminus Road (PRA5) Amend Figure 3 – Primary and Secondary Retail: To redesignate the area as primary retail (dark

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
	environment in this location. This Modification has not been consulted on.		blue) and denote with reference PRA5.
M10	Reason: It is anticipated that the associated regeneration benefits and public realm improvements that are likely to arise as a result of the planned extension to the Arndale Centre will substantially enhance the nature of the retail environment in this location. This Modification has not been consulted on.	Policy TC5 Secondary Retail Areas (SRAs)	Delete the address 46-94 Terminus Road from Secondary Retail Area 10: • 2-44 Terminus Road (SRA10)
M11	Reason: to ensure that the TCLP is consistent with the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan. This Modification has already been consulted on	Paragraph 4.20	"The <u>Eastbourne</u> Core Strategy <u>Local Plan</u> identifies the Town Centre as a sustainable location for increasing household growth. The <u>Eastbourne</u> Core Strategy <u>Local Plan</u> anticipates 962 1,190 dwellings being provided in the Town Centre within the period up to 2027. <u>of which 436</u> The TCLP identifies that from this amount 450 should be provided through will need to come forward through small scale development (less than 20 units), typically through infill, conversion of existing buildings which are not currently in residential use and adaptation of large dwellings into more than one unit, and 436 through providing new homes as

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
			a component of mixed use development on the Development Opportunity Sites."
M12	Reason: to strengthen the TCLP policy commitment to protecting existing employment opportunities in the Town Centre making reference to the Employment Land Local Plan and the Prior Notification procedure relating to the recently introduced change to Permitted Development rights. This Modification has not been consulted on.	Paragraph 4.28	The town centre's office stock adds to the mix of uses within the centre and is an important contributor to its overall vitality and viability. Those working in and visiting the centre's offices provide an important customer base for other businesses and commercial enterprises in the centre, adding in particular to its weekday and daytime footfall and helping to support the centre's night time economy. The Council is to produce a new Employment Land Local Plan (ELLP) which will quantify the amount of office space that is to be provided during the current Core Strategy Local Plan period. This will inform the amount of space that should be directed to the town centre. This is in the interest of concentrating office workers within the most accessible locations of the town centre, close to those living in the town centre and easily accessible for commuters based in the neighbouring wards and areas from which people can readily travel to the centre on foot, or by cycle, bus or by train. Having regard to the outcome of the Employment Land Local Plan and through monitoring any changes of use coming forward as a result of the Prior Notification process on the temporary relaxation of Permitted Development rights, the Council will consider the use of Article 4 Directions

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
			to protect B1(a) office space in the Town Centre.
M13	Reason: To provide additional information as to how the Council proposes to implement the Arts Trail project. This Modification has already been consulted on	Paragraph 4.45	Insert an additional paragraph regarding the proposed Arts Trail to read: "The Council will initially engage with local stakeholders including those making representations as part of the TCLP, and businesses along the proposed trail to scope out the provisions for the arts trail project including design objectives, alignment of the route, the location of appropriate signage and installations, and pedestrian crossing facilities. The project will be incorporated into an ongoing review of the Council's assets at Devonshire Park and led by the Council. Initial scoping work will be undertaken in the short term of the TCLP period with implementation in the medium term. This will be coordinated with the other public realm priority projects set out in Policy TC13. Further information on the delivery strategy for the Arts Trail is set out in the TCLP Implementation Framework in Section 6."
M14	Reason: in order to clarify the Council's approach to assessing building heights within the Town Centre, defining landmark buildings and ensuring consistency with the wording of Policy TC11, to describe the existing pattern of building heights within the Town Centre, and set out acceptable locations	Paragraphs 4.57 to 4.63	This section of the TCLP has been reviewed with paragraphs 4.57 to 4.63 revised and five additional paragraphs added between paragraphs 4.60 and 4.61 to read: "4.57 The pattern of different building heights including recognisable landmarks can play a significant role in helping people to understand the Town Centre, making it easier to locate important places, and

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
	within the Town Centre for landmark buildings. This Modification has already been consulted on but is now		making the town legible. New development proposals must reinforce this pattern, adding to the character, identity and legibility of the Town Centre as a whole.
	subject to a further modification		4.58 At present, development in the Town Centre is generally within a range of between 3 to 5 storeys in height. Important places, including Terminus Road, parts of Grove Road and South Street, Seaside Road and the Seafront Character Area and parades, are signified as such because the streets are fronted by buildings of up to 5 storeys in height. Other locations, such as around the Cavendish District, are more domestic in scale with the majority of buildings only reaching up to 3 storeys in height. As such the general consistency of building heights in these different parts of the Town Centre can give it a strong character and identity.
			4.59 In addition, landmark buildings can help signal important locations in the Town Centre, such as the corners of junctions between main streets, or key public spaces, and they emphasise the role or status that a particular building has within the town. The Town Hall, the railway station, The Pier, The Wish Tower and the Towner are all important landmarks in the Town Centre.
			4.60 Landmark buildings are defined as those of special architectural quality and character,

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed /	Policy/ Table/	Proposed Modification
	reason	Paragraph	
			those that have distinctive and memorable features (clocks, statues, friezes etc.) or house special and unique uses such as civic or cultural uses. Landmark buildings can also be taller than those around them, a 4 storey building in an area that is predominantly 3 storeys, or a 6 storey building in an area that is predominantly 5 storeys for example. There are currently very few buildings and structures in the Town Centre that are taller than 6 storeys in height. Those that do exist are generally the spires or towers associated with Eastbourne's churches and the Town
			In addition, they include a number of buildings in the Town Centre that are very much 'of their time', predominantly dating from the late 1960's and early 1970's: the Arndale Centre car park and the JCP car park on Junction Road for example (6 storeys), and office buildings on St Leonard's Road (up to 7 storeys) which are all clustered around the area of the railway station. There is also the Eastbourne Centre on the Seafront (9 storeys) and apartment buildings on Compton Road in the Seafront Character Area (7 storeys).
			In order to preserve the character and

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
	reason	Fai agi apii	identity of the Town Centre the Council will have regard to the prevailing building height in a particular location when assessing proposals for new development. This will generally be between 3 and 5 storeys. When assessing individual proposals, the Council will have regard to the prevailing uniformity of building heights in a particular location. Where this contributes significantly to the character and townscape qualities of Eastbourne, particularly within identified Conservation Area boundaries, proposals for buildings that are taller than the prevailing building height in that location will be likely to have a negative impact on the character of the town and will therefore be resisted. In certain locations within the Town Centre landmark buildings of up to 6 storeys in height may be acceptable in order to emphasise important places and contribute towards the overall legibility of the Town
			 Centre. These locations are identified as: The Terminus Road corridor to reinforce the identity and role of the main retail street; adjoining Town Centre gateways as defined in Policy TC2 to enhance the role and identity of these locations on the edge of the Town Centre; within the Seafront Character Area where there are already a number of

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
	Teasuri	r aragrap	 landmark buildings; and in the vicinity of the railway station where there are already a number of landmark buildings located. It is also a location that is also highly accessible by public transport and therefore appropriate for potentially more intensive forms of development.
			4.61 Development must have regard to other design quality policies in the TCLP including Policy TC9 and TC11 as well as general development management policies to be set out in the Development Management DPD. Specific guidance on building heights is also given in each of the Development Opportunity Site policies.
			4.62 In exceptional cases, and in order to accommodate key development requirements, tall buildings that are over 6 storeys in height may be an appropriate design response within the Town Centre but only in specific locations and where they meet high standards of architectural and urban design quality.
			4.63 The Council considers that the only location for development of this kind in the Town Centre may be at Development Opportunity Site Two, which adjoins the railway station. As a gateway location with good access to public transport provision, close to the

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed /	Policy/ Table/	Proposed Modification
	reason	Paragraph	
			primary retail core, Development
			Opportunity Site Two may be an appropriate
			location for more intensive forms of
			development to meet the objectives of the
			TCLP. These may best be accommodated in
			well designed taller landmark buildings
			above 6 storeys. In addition, although the
			station building is listed, Development
			Opportunity Site Two is not within a
			Conservation Area. Again, development
			must have regard to other design quality
			policies in the TCLP including Policies TC9
			and TC11 as well as general development
			management policies to be set out in the
			Development Management DPD."
M15	Reason: to clarify the wording	Policy TC11	The title of Policy TC11 has been revised deleting
	of the policy in line with revisions	Building Heights	reference to 'landmarks and tall buildings'. The
	to the supporting text ensuring		wording of the second bullet point has been
	consistency.		amended to read:
	This Modification has already		"Landmark buildings of up to 6 storeys in height
	been consulted on but is now		will be acceptable on Key Town Centre approaches;
	subject to a further		at gateway sites to signal points of arrival; and
	modification		adjoining the Seafront, public squares and spaces
			as set out in Policy TC2 where they are of
			outstanding architectural quality and add positively
			to the townscape qualities of Eastbourne.
			Landmark buildings of up to 6 storeys in height will
			not be acceptable in areas where the townscape
			quality of the area is derived from the uniformity of
			existing building heights."

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
	reason		The wording of the third bullet point has been amended to read:
			"Exceptionally, on Development Opportunity Site Two, tall buildings over 6 storeys in height may be acceptable if they are of outstanding architectural quality and add positively to the townscape qualities of Eastbourne. Tall buildings shall be designed in the form of slim point blocks, which limit the visual prominence of development."
M16	Reason: to explain why key public realm locations have been identified as being important and to provide information on how the Council proposes to take them forward towards implementation. This Modification has already been consulted on but is now subject to a Further Modification.	Paragraphs 4.82 and 4.83	Two additional paragraphs have been added to the TCLP to read: "There are also a number of key locations within the Town Centre where enhancements to and investment in the public realm will be prioritised. These key streets and spaces make a particular contribution towards enhancing the legibility, identity, functionality, particularly in terms of facilitating easier pedestrian movement, and the overall appearance of the Town Centre and have therefore been prioritised. Enhancement proposals for each of these locations will be developed by the Council having regard to the Public Realm Design Objectives in order to address the principal design issues highlighted and also to the Public Realm Guidance being prepared by East Sussex County Council (regarding funding of high-quality materials). In addition, the Council will produce a Public Realm Strategy for the Town Centre in the short term phase of the plan period to

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
M17	Reason: to provide additional information on how the Council proposes to take the public realm priorities forward towards implementation. This Further Modification has not been consulted on.	Policy TC13	inform the design layout and funding opportunities for the identified public realm priorities. Funding will come from a variety of different sources including developer contributions from adjoining Development Opportunity Sites as well as any surplus parking revenues generated from on-street parking in the Town Centre, as part of LTP3, and potential other sources including the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) where it is directly linked to new development. Where contributions are sought from the Development Opportunity Sites information is given in the relevant policy. Additional information on how they will be brought forward for delivery is given in the TCLP Implementation Framework in Section 6." An additional sentence has been added to the end of the policy to read: "The Council will produce a Public Realm Strategy for the Town Centre to inform the design layout, funding opportunities and implementation of the identified public realm priorities."
M18	Reason: to address representations made to the TCLP. This Modification has already been consulted on.	Paragraph 4.102	Reference to electric charging points for cars will be added to the supporting text to read: "Finally, where required provision will be made for charging points for electric vehicles within the Town Centre."

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
M19	Reason: to update the supporting text of the TCLP to account for LTP3 which has been approved since the drafting of the TCLP, and supersedes LTP2. In addition to add reference to the Eastbourne Cycling Strategy which has also been approved	Paragraph 4.106	Paragraph 4.106 has been amended to read: East Sussex County Council's Local Transport Plan 2 (LTP2) includes a mechanism to direct surplus revenue Any surplus revenue generated by on- street car parking will be allocated towards implementing local transport improvements including for public transport, pedestrians and
	since the drafting of the TCLP. This Modification has already been consulted on but is now subject to a Further Modification		cyclists infrastructure, which in part has already been implemented in Eastbourne with the advent of Real Time Passenger Information on bus stops on Terminus Road but could also extend to public realm improvements in the Town Centre. LTP3 has been approved by East Sussex County Council and will be used to inform decision making during the AAP TCLP period.
			In addition an Eastbourne Cycling Strategy has been_is-being jointly prepared by the Council and East Sussex County Council <a and-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-be-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-indentify-be-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-ind-has-identified-a-series-of-key-will-identify-potential-identify-potential-identify-potential-identify-potential-identify-potential-identify-potential-identify-potential-identify-potential-identify-potential-identify-potential-identify-potential-identify-potential-ide<="" example.com="" href="https://example.com/and-has-identified-a-series-of-key-which-will-identify-potential-improvements-to-cycling-infrastructure-across-Eastbourne-the-Borough-including-the-Town Centre. Once complete it will also The Cycling Strategy will should be used to inform decision making during the
M20	Reason: in order to clarify the Council's approach to dealing with development proposals coming forward in the town	Paragraph 5.3	An additional sentence has been added to read: "Importantly, in the case of the Development Opportunity Sites, the Council acknowledges that

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
	centre and to meet the requirements of the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan. This Modification has already been consulted on.		during the lifetime of the TCLP detailed issues of delivery and land ownership may result in adjustments to the boundaries shown on TCLP Figure 1 in order to bring forward appropriate development to meet the policy aims and objectives of the TCLP."
M21	Reason: in order to clarify the Council's approach to dealing with development proposals coming forward in the town centre and to meet the requirements of the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan. This Modification has already been consulted on.	Paragraph 5.4	A new paragraph has been added to the TCLP to read: "Furthermore, the Council will carefully consider the provision of housing on each of the Development Opportunity Sites. The Council will monitor housing targets against delivery in order to determine if a review is required during the TCLP period. The TCLP makes allowance for up to 450 units to be accommodated as part of the development mix on the Development Opportunity Sites. The Council will negotiate with developers and landowners to secure adequate housing provision to meet the requirements of the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan on the Development Opportunity Sites. Development coming forward in the Transition Areas (Policy TC23) and the Areas of Potential Change (Policy TC24) will contribute to the windfall assumptions for the Town Centre as set out in the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan."
M22	Reason: in order to clarify the Council's approach to dealing with development proposals coming forward in the town	Paragraph 5.6	A further modification has been made to the second sentence of paragraph 5.6 to read: Given the importance of the Development

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
	This Further Modification has not been consulted on.		Opportunity Sites the Council expects a strong, design-led approach to be taken by developers. The Council will require a comprehensive and strategic approach to the design and layout of the Development Opportunity Sites, particularly those identified as coming forward in phases or within multiple land ownerships. Applicants will be expected to demonstrate that proposals coming forward on any part of the Development Opportunity Sites do not prejudice the development of the remainder of the site. master plan to be produced by applicants in support of each scheme with opportunities for appropriate community involvement and engagement encouraged particularly at pre-application stage."
M23	Reason: in order to clarify the Council's approach to dealing with development proposals coming forward in the town centre and to meet the requirements of the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan. This Modification has already been consulted on but is now subject to Further Modification.	Paragraphs 5.8 to 5.15	Additional paragraphs have been added to the supporting text of Policy TC17 to read: "5.8 In addition there will be a requirement for proposals to demonstrate how they will be implemented with appropriate consideration given to phasing and delivery. The Council will expect an implementation and phasing plan to be submitted as part of the comprehensive master plan proposals for each of the Development Opportunity Sites as well as other major development or redevelopment proposals in the Town Centre. 5.9 The TCLP Implementation Framework in Section 6 sets out a broad delivery strategy

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed /	Policy/ Table/	Proposed Modification
	reason	Paragraph	
			for each Development Opportunity Site, as well as other identified projects within the Town Centre, including broad timescales over the TCLP period, project leads and key partners, and potential funding sources. Applicants will be expected to demonstrate how they comply with the requirements of the Framework as part of proposals for the Development Opportunity Sites the
			5.10 The Council will monitor progress of each of the Development Opportunity Sites and related projects over the TCLP period and review the Implementation Framework as required in order to ensure the coordinated and timely delivery of development within the Town Centre.
			5.11 In order to ensure that the overall quantum of development of 450 net residential units and 3000 sq m of new B1(a) office space is delivered successfully, the Council will monitor the overall delivery rates and residual capacity, across the five Development Opportunity Sites.
			5.12 Contingency options will be implemented in the event that proposals come forward and are granted planning permission on a number of the Development Opportunities

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
	Teason	T di dgi dpii	Sites and it becomes evident that the residual capacity on the remaining sites is unlikely to deliver sufficient residential units and office floorspace, to meet the overall requirement, having regard to all the policies in this plan. Development proposals can only be determined on a site-by-site basis as and when they come forward. 5.13 The Council has been working proactively to facilitate investment and bring forward appropriate mixed-use development in the Town Centre, in spite of the challenging economic climate. In this context, Development Opportunity Site 1 may not deliver housing or new office space giving priority instead to new high-quality comparison floor space which is also an important Town Centre regeneration priority. 5.14 The Council will work with landowners and developers to deliver an uplift of residential and employment development on the remaining Development Opportunity Sites, still to be brought forward. If there is no reasonable prospect that the remaining Development Opportunity Sites will meet the residual residential and employment land requirements, then this will trigger the implementation of the contingency options.
			<u> </u>

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed /	Policy/ Table/	Proposed Modification
	reason	Paragraph	
			 5.15 In the event that the overall housing and employment requirements are unlikely to be delivered across the five Development Opportunity Sites, the Council will implement the following contingency options: Promote opportunities for residential and B1(a) office development on other available sites within the Town Centre including the two Transition Areas and the Langney Road/Pevensey Road Potential Area of Change, and the areas of future opportunity potential adjoining Development Opportunity Sites 1 and 4; Review the contribution that windfall development has had on the overall delivery rate in the Town Centre and reevaluate the overall targets; Use Compulsory Purchase powers if necessary; and Undertake an early review of Town Centre Local Plan in order to identify additional sites."
M24	Reason: in order to clarify the Council's approach to dealing with development proposals coming forward in the Town Centre and to meet the requirements of, and ensure consistency with, the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan. In addition to allow flexibility to	Policy TC17	The wording of Policy TC17 has been amended to read: "Policy TC17: Strategic Master Planned Approach to Town Centre Development Sites The five Development Opportunity Sites will deliver a minimum of 450 net residential units and 3000sgm of new B1(a) office space, the quantum

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
IVIOU INO.			of which will be confirmed in the Employment Land Local Plan. These requirements should be provided across all five sites allowing flexibility for the quantum of development to be delivered on each site to be determined by an appropriate design response. In order to ensure a comprehensive, strategic design led approach to proposals coming forward within the identified Development Opportunity Sites and other major redevelopment sites that may come forward within the Town Centre the Council will require proposals to clearly demonstrate a master plan to be produced demonstrating how each the scheme and layout: Positively responds to the context of the site; Meets the identified development requirements and components set out in the Development Opportunity Site policies and other design policies in the TCLP; Addresses design quality and sustainability issues as set out by relevant policies in the TCLP; and Establishes a safe, usable and well-connected public realm that is fronted by high-quality development. Where sites are to be delivered in phases the
			Council will require applicant's to show through the design, accesses arrangements and layout of proposals that development on one part of the site will not prejudice the development of the remainder

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
M25	Reason: in order to properly identify the extent of the Development Opportunity Sites and improve the legibility of the TCLP.		In addition the Council will require an implementation and phasing plan to be submitted as part of the master plan setting out how proposals will be delivered in the Town Centre." Sites plans for each of the DO Sites to show clear boundaries to be inserted in the relevant sections of the TCLP. Site areas for each of the Development Opportunity Sites are also included on the plans (see Appendix 1).
	This Further Modification has not been consulted on.		
M26	Reason: in order to provide additional information as to how the Council will work towards the implementation and delivery of the site. As the Council anticipate that Development Opportunity Site 2 will come forward within the medium term of the TCLP Plan period Paragraph 5.28 will be deleted to avoid confusion.	Paragraphs 5.27 and 5.28	A new paragraphs has been added to the supporting text of Policy TC19 and one deleted to read: "In terms of delivery, the Council anticipates that development of the site will come forward as part of the medium term phase of the TCLP period during the period from 2017-2022. The Council will pursue initial discussions with the principal site owners, including Network Rail, who have already signalled a willingness to bring the area forward for development subject to accommodating ongoing
	This Modification has already been consulted on but is now subject to a Further Modification.		"The site is expected to be delivered in the medium to longer term with a planning application submitted sometime after 2018. Development of

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
			the initial phases of a scheme would commence by 2020/2022 with the site substantially developed by the end of the TCLP period."
M27	Reason: To ensure consistency, including A2 and A4 uses as being acceptable additional uses at ground floor level in accordance with Policy TC4. This Further Modification has not been consulted on.	Policy TC18 Development Opportunity Site One	Amend Policy TC18, 5 th Paragraph to read: "Mix of Uses. Required uses are A1 retail providing new comparison floorspace. Acceptable additional uses are A2, A3 and A4 uses at ground floor (in accordance with Policy TC4), B1(a) offices, C3 residential and D2 assembly and leisure above ground floor."
M28	Reason: in order to clarify the wording of the policy. This Modification has already been consulted on.	Policy TC19: Development Opportunity Site Two	The seventh paragraph of the policy text has been amended to read: "Parking will to be provided within the site to replace existing car parking provision with vehicular access from St Leonard's Road. Cycle facilities including parking and signage to routes will also be provided. Taxi rank facilities will be enhanced as part of the development."
M29	Reason: In order to update the TCLP in response to representations from Caffyn's garage.	Paragraph 5.31	The third sentence of the paragraph has been expanded and a new sentence added to read: "Parking and servicing areas are currently accessed from Southfields Road including an existing vehicular access to the former garage premises which falls just outside the boundary of the TCLP. It is anticipated that this access could remain in

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
			use as part of any proposals for the former garage premises coming forward in relation to Development Opportunity Site 3."
M30	Reason: in order to provide additional information as to how the Council is working towards the delivery of the site. This Modification has already been consulted on but is now subject to a Further Modification.	Paragraphs 5.32, 5.33 and 5.34	Three additional paragraphs have been added to the supporting text of Policy TC20 to read: "5.32 In terms of delivery the site is likely to be a medium to longer term opportunity during the TCLP period. The Council will continue to pursue discussions with adjoining landowners within the short term phase of the TCLP in order to secure comprehensive redevelopment. Also in the short term the Council will engage with East Sussex County Council as part of the discussions with landowners in relation to necessary highway and access works around the Town Centre gateway at the junction of Upperton Road and The Avenue as part of Policy TC2.
			5.33 The site is likely to be brought forward in development phases with an initial phase delivered in the short to medium term of the TCLP focused on the former Caffyn's garage premises. A further initial phase delivered in the medium term will be focused on the Council owned car park and former garage premises. A second phase will be focused on the Royal Mail Post Office premises and land holdings dependent upon a review of accommodation requirements by the Royal Mail Post Office and suitable, viable and

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed /	Policy/ Table/	Proposed Modification
	reason	Paragraph	
			commercially attractive replacement
			premises being provided elsewhere, subject
			to need. This phase will be delivered in the
			long term. Although the site may be
			delivered in phases the Council will require
			applicant's to show through the design,
			accesses arrangements and layout of
			proposals that development on one part of
			the site will not prejudice the development of
			the remainder of the site pursue a
			comprehensive master planned approach in
			accordance with Policy TC17.
			5.34 The Council anticipates a planning
			application for the site will be submitted
			sometime after 2017."
M31	Reason: in order to provide	Paragraphs 5.40,	Three additional paragraphs have been added to
IVIST	additional information as to how	5.41 and 5.42	the supporting text of Policy TC21 to read:
	the Council is working towards	0.41 dna 0.42	the supporting text of Folicy Foz F to Fedd.
	the delivery of the site.		"5.40 In terms of delivery the Council anticipate
			that the site will come forward in the long
	This Modification has already		term phase of the TCLP period, from 2022
	been consulted on.		onwards. As part of the lead-in to
			development the Council will actively pursue
			discussions with landowners in the short to
			medium phase of the TCLP period to further
			scope and refine development aspirations for
			the site. The Council will also engage with
			adjoining landowners to assess the potential
			for additional sites to be considered as part
			of the overall proposals thereby enhancing
			the overall development potential of the site.

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed /	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
	reason	rai agi apii	5.41 This will include discussions with East Sussex County Council regarding the closure of through traffic at the junction of Langney Road, Terminus Road and Bolton Road in order to bring forward proposals for a new public space. 5.42 The Council anticipate that a planning application will be brought forward post 2022. The site is likely to be developed in phases with an initial phase focused on the frontage site overlooking Terminus Road and a second phase focused on the adjoining frontage site overlooking Langney Road. Where funding is available through CIL or other sources the Council will also consider bringing forward the development of a new public space at the junction of Terminus Road, Langney Road and Bolton Road prior to a planning application being submitted on Development Opportunity Site Four."
M32	Reason: in order to provide additional and up-to-date information as to how the Council is working towards the delivery of the site. This Modification has already been consulted on.	Paragraph 5.43	Revisions have been made to the first line of paragraph 5.43 to read: "The site of the former Cooperative has already been granted planning permission for a hotel the subject of planning approval for mixed use development." An additional sentence has been added to the end of the paragraph to read:

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed /	Policy/ Table/	Proposed Modification
M33	Reason: to address representations made on behalf of the site owners. This Modification has already been consulted on.	Policy TC22 Development Opportunity Site Five	"Planning permission for a hotel use has recently been approved, and the Council will continue discussions in the short term phase of the TCLP period with the site owners to bring forward development." Amend third sentence of policy TC22 to read: "Storey heights: New development to range from 3 to 5 storeys up to 6 storeys above street level." Amend fifth sentence of Policy TC22 to read: "Mix of uses. Required uses are A1 retail at ground floor and C3 residential above ground floor. Acceptable additional uses are A3 restaurants and cafes and A4 drinking establishments at ground floor, and B1(a) offices, C1 hotel, D1 community uses and D2 assembly and leisure above ground floor. Uses which provide an active frontage at ground floor level are required and to include Class A1 retail, A3 restaurants and cafes, and/or A4 drinking establishment. On the upper floors, acceptable uses include Class C3 residential, B1 (a) offices, C1 hotel, D1 community uses and D2
M34	Reason: in order to provide additional information as to how the Council is working towards the delivery of the potential	Paragraph 5.56	assembly and leisure." A new paragraph has been added to the supporting text of Policy TC24 to read: "In terms of delivery the Potential Areas of Change
	areas of change.		are both long term proposals within the TCLP


Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
	This Modification has already been consulted on.		period likely to come forward post 2022. Indicative boundaries for the Potential Areas of Change are shown on TCLP Figure 1 but will be subject to detailed assessment and review by the Council as and when proposals are brought forward."
M35	Reason: in order to provide additional information as to how the Council is working towards the delivery of the potential area of change. This Modification has already been consulted on.	Paragraph 5.58	"In addition the Council is undertaking a review of the whole of Devonshire Park, which will be completed in 2013 and will lead to the production of a business plan for the site including the arts and cultural activities based there. The Council will consider future proposals for the International Lawn Tennis Centre within the context of the review The aim is to ensure Devonshire Park becomes a distinctive, visually inspiring and high value cultural destination which can accommodate a diverse set of visitor and user needs. The results of the review will be used to inform proposals coming forward in the area."
M36	Reason: in order to provide additional information as to how the Council is working towards the delivery of the potential area of change. This Modification has already been consulted on.	Paragraph 5.59	An additional sentence has been added to the end of paragraph 5.59 to read: "Land at Langney Road and Pevensey Road will be considered by the Council over the short and medium term phases of the TCLP period and where appropriate will work with land owners to produce a broad development framework for the area to ensure a coordinated approach to the development of the area as and when proposals are brought forward by landowners."

Mod No.	Issue to be addressed / reason	Policy/ Table/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification
M37	Reason: to provide additional information on the delivery and monitoring of key policies and projects within the TCLP in order to demonstrate how projects will be progressed over the timescale of the TCLP and provide certainty to the delivery process. Because the whole section has been re-written, the section has been attached as Appendix 2 to this document. This Modification has already been consulted on but is now subject to Further Modification.	Section 6: Implementation and Monitoring including Table 1: Timescales and Programme, and Table 2 Implementation and Delivery Framework.	This section has been revised and updated to include additional information on timing of key policies and projects set out in the TCLP, and to include information on implementation lead and key partners; outline delivery strategy and issues for key projects; potential funding sources; related TCLP projects and any infrastructure requirements; and potential risks in bringing projects forward. Table 1: Timescales and Programme has been added and updated so that TC19 DO Site 2 is included as Phase 2 'the medium term'. TC20 DO Site 3 so that the shading extends to include Phase 3: Long term. An additional column has been added to the Implementation and Delivery Framework Table 2 setting out, where appropriate, contingency arrangements for projects identified in the TCLP in order to manage any risks. The Monitoring Framework has been revised to include additional targets and indicators together with a timescale for when monitoring will take place.

APPENDIX 1

Site Plans for each of the Development Opportunity Sites

DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY SITE 1 (TC18)




EASTBOURNE 1

EASTBOURNE TOWN CENTRE LOCAL PLAN

David Lock Associates

Your Marring and Urban Design

DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY SITE 2 (TC19)






EASTBOURNE TOWN CENTRE LOCAL PLAN



DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY SITE 3 (TC20)




EASTBOURNE 1

EASTBOURNE TOWN CENTRE LOCAL PLAN

David Lock Associates

Youn Planning and Urban Design

DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY SITE 4 (TC21)




EASTBOURNE 1

EASTBOURNE TOWN CENTRE
LOCAL PLAN

David Lock Associates

Nown Manning and Urban Design

DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY SITE 5 (TC22)



EASTBOURNE 1

EASTBOURNE TOWN CENTRE
LOCAL PLAN

David Lock Associates

Nown Planning and Urban Design

6.0 IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING

- 6.1 The TCLP is focused on implementation and must therefore demonstrate who is responsible for the delivery of each project, provision and policy. It must indicate when, where and how it will be delivered. A number of potential delivery options for generating the capital required to fund planned improvements are identified. The tables below set out this information for each section of the document.
- 6.2 Underlying the implementation of the TCLP is the principle of partnership working with all groups seeking positive change in the Town Centre. Delivery of proposals throughout the Town Centre will be critical to the success of the TCLP. It is important to establish a range of opportunities for the Council to pursue. Implementation will be secured through a variety of methods and techniques which are set out below and cross referenced in the TCLP Implementation Framework:

Infrastructure Delivery Plan and Community Infrastructure Levy

The Council has produced an Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) setting out the key pieces of infrastructure required to enable development to come forward during the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan Period (2006-2027)including, for example, public realm improvements, public transportation infrastructure and highway improvements. Details on the working of the Community Infrastructure Levy where financial contributions will be collected from all developments across Eastbourne for key Town Centre enhancements are also referenced in the IDP and will be further developed in the CIL Charging Schedule. The Council will introduce the CIL by 2014 as a means of funding strategic infrastructure requirements set out in the IDP. All key Town Centre infrastructure projects including public realm

enhancements, the Arts Trail, and public transport interchange are set out in the IDP. The CIL will be one of a number of key funding mechanisms to be drawn against for the implementation of key projects in the Town Centre. In addition, the TCLP identifies where development contributions will be sought from key development sites, specifically the five Development Opportunity Sites, where they reasonably relate to particular public realm and other enhancement projects. These are set out in the relevant policies contained within the TCLP.

Development management decisions

Decisions on development will be made in conformity with the TCLP and other relevant DPDs. Conditions, legal agreements and other supporting information will also contribute to successful implementation.

Pre-application discussions

Pre-application discussions are essential to securing the successful implementation of the plan. They are a key element in ensuring that prospective developers understand what the Council considers acceptable development proposals and how they meet the objectives of the TCLP. The Council is actively pursuing preapplication discussion in relation to the Development Opportunity Sites and will continue to do so in order to shape projects and proposals as they are brought forward in the Town Centre. The TCLP Implementation Framework identifies where discussions are ongoing and where the Council will use its important development management function to facilitate development. The Council will seek a comprehensive approach to the formulation of proposals through pre-application discussions and meetings. In addition, the Council will consider the production of development briefs for major sites where this would add value to the development process.

Site specific master plans

Proposals coming forward on the identified Development Opportunity Sites, as well as other major development or redevelopment sites in the Town Centre, will be subject to the preparation of a master plan to be led by developers in accordance with Policy TC17. This will ensure a comprehensive, design led approach to implementation. The Council will contribute to the preparation of the site specific master plans through pre-application discussions and meetings. In addition, the Council will consider the production of a development brief for major sites where this would add value to the development process. The TCLP Implementation Framework identifies where this approach will be taken, specifically at Development Opportunity Site Two: land adjoining the railway station and the Area of Change in Langney Road.

Other Council and partner strategies

There are other strategies and programmes sponsored by both the Council and other agencies. The Local Transport Plan (LTP) is a good example. Ensuring that the objectives and priorities are coordinated with the TCLP is essential if return on the limited investment funds available is to be maximised. The TCLP Implementation Framework sets out where the Council will work with East Sussex County Council in considering how funding for public realm projects may be secured, paying regard to the emerging Public Ream Guidance on funding for high-quality materials. The Council will also give further consideration to a Business Improvement District for the Town Centre particularly as a means of continuing the work of the Town Centre Management Initiative.

Partnership working

The Council is keen to work up development proposals in partnership with prospective development interests. This method of working is the most appropriate way of minimising risk to the developer by ensuring that development meets the aims of the

plan and encourages innovative design approaches. The TCLP Implementation Framework identifies lead and partner organisations against each of the key projects in the TCLP together with an indication of which phase of project development they will contribute towards.

Council owned Land

Where appropriate, the Council will use its own Town Centre relatively minor landholdings, to secure the TCLP development objectives either on its own or co-operation with others.

External funding

The opportunities to secure additional external funding will be very constrained in the current economic circumstances. However, the Council will remain alert to the possibility of drawing down external funding when opportunities arise.

Liaison with utilities providers

The Council will use the TCLP and the evolving IDP to provide a framework for discussions and agreements with utility providers. This will ensure that services do not place a constraint on development, capacity constraints are identified early in the process and the plans of utility providers are co-ordinated with development requirements.

Special Delivery Vehicle

For major regeneration projects it may be appropriate to establish a bespoke public partnership through the creation of a Special Delivery Vehicle. These can take a number of forms depending upon the specific shape of the project in question. Although a Special Delivery Vehicle is not currently identified as a means of implementing any of the key projects set out in the TCLP the Council will continue to review its position during the TCLP period as part of an ongoing programme of monitoring. Where

appropriate a special delivery vehicle may be used if it is identified as being expedient to do so.

Compulsory Purchase Orders (CPO)

The Council places great emphasis on collaborative working to secure development objectives. However, in some situations there may be a need to compulsorily acquire land where ownership is creating particular constraints to development. In these circumstances the Council will consider using CPO powers to enable key proposals in the TCLP.

Timescales and programme

6.3 In order to provide timescales for implementation three development phases are envisaged as part of the TCLP <u>broadly</u> based on 5 year periods. These are:

 Short Term
 2012 – 2016

 Medium Term
 2017 – 2021

 Long Term
 2022 – 2027

- The TCLP Implementation Framework identifies which of the key TCLP projects are anticipated as being brought forward within the three development phases together with a commentary on an outline delivery strategy for each. The Council is already in discussion with key partners and landowners on a number of projects, particularly the Development Opportunity Sites. Other projects, particularly longer term or aspirational, provide more of an outline of what the Council intends to do in order to drive forward delivery.
- 6.5 **Table 1 Timescales and Programme** summarises the timescales for all of the projects and policies contained in the TCLP within the three development phases. It provides <u>an anticipated</u> timeline to show the interrelationship between different policy, development and public realm projects showing where over the TCLP period proposals are anticipated as coming forward. It also indicates which public realm projects are considered to be aspirational, i.e. those that perform a role and function in terms of the overall structure of the Town Centre but for which project planning and funding sources have yet to be identified. The table summarises the timescales set out in **Table 2 Implementation and Delivery Framework** and provides a means of quickly assessing which projects are coming forward concurrently.

Table 1: Anticipated Timescales and Programme

	Phase	1: Short	Term			Phase	2: Mediu	ım Term			Phase	3: Long	Term		
Policy/project	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026/7
TC1	Develo	pment M	anageme	ent Policy	– whole	Plan per	riod								
TC2 Approaches															Α
TC2 Gateways															Α
TC3, TC4, TC5, TC6, TC7	Develo	pment M	anageme	ent Polici	es – who	le Plan p	eriod								
TC8 Arts Trail															
TC9, TC10, TC11, TC12	Develo	pment M	anageme	ent Polici	es – who	le Plan p	eriod								
TC13 Terminus Rd Corridor															
TC13 Grove Rd/South St															
TC13 Gildredge Rd/Cornfield Terrace															
TC13 Susans Rd/Seaside Rd															
TC13 Pevensey Rd/Lismore Rd															
TC13 Hyde Gardens															
TC13 Memorial Roundabout															Α
TC13 Wilmington Square															Α
TC14 PT Interchange															
TC15, TC16, TC17	Develo	pment M	anageme	ent Polici	es – who	le Plan p	eriod								
TC18 DO Site 1															
TC19 DO Site 2															
TC20 DO Site 3															
TC21 DO Site 4															
TC22 DO Site 5															
TC23															
TC24															

A – Aspirational

The Implementation and Delivery Framework is set out in Table 2. The first part of Table 2 considers TCLP policies that are focused on primarily Development Management and the second part of Table 2 that considers TCLP policies where a particular project is proposed. Additional detail is given for project specific policies in order to set out a clear and unambiguous approach to implementation and delivery over the TCLP period including identifying risks and, where appropriate, potential contingency measures.

Table 2a: Implementation and Delivery Framework (<u>Development Management Policies</u>)

Policy	Location	Timescale	Implementation lead
Vision and objectives	Whole TCLP Area	Whole Plan Period	Council through application of policies in the TCLP, Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan and other DPDs and planning application decisions by the Council or other decision makers
TC1 Character Areas	Whole TCLP Area	Whole Plan Period	Council through application of policies in the TCLP, Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan and other DPDs and planning application decisions by the Council or other decision makers
TC3 Mixed Use Development	Whole TCLP Area	Whole Plan Period	Council and the development industry
TC4 Primary Retail Area	Primary Retail Core	Whole Plan Period	Council, retailers and development industry
TC5 Secondary Retail Areas	Secondary Retail Areas	Whole Plan Period	Council, retailers and development industry
TC6 Residential Development in the Town centre	Whole TCLP Area	Whole Plan Period	Council and residential developers
TC7 Supporting the Evening Economy	Evening economy areas	Whole Plan Period	Council through planning application decisions, application of policies in the TCLP and licensing arrangements
TC9 Development Quality	Whole TCLP Area	Whole Plan Period	Council through planning application decisions and application of policies in the TCLP and Development Management DPD
TC10 Building Frontages and Elevations	Whole TCLP Area	Whole Plan Period	Council through planning application decisions and application of policies in the TCLP and Development Management DPD
TC11 Building Heights, Landmarks, and Tall Buildings	Whole TCLP Area	Whole Plan Period	Council through planning application decisions and application of policies in the TCLP and Development Management DPD
TC12 Servicing Access and Storage	Whole TCLP Area	Whole Plan Period	Council through planning application decisions and application of policies in the TCLP and Development Management DPD
TC15 Parking in the Town Centre	Whole TCLP Area	Whole Plan Period	Car Park Operators, Council and East Sussex County Council
TC16 Town Centre Streets	Whole TCLP Area	Whole Plan Period	East Sussex County Council
TC17 Strategic Approach to Town	Whole TCLP Area	Whole Plan Period	Council and development industry
Centre Development Sites			·
TC17 Master Planned Approach to			
Town Centre Development Sites			

Table 2b: Implementation and Delivery Framework (Project Specific Policies)

Policy and Project	Location of Project	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks and Contingencies
TC2 Town Centre	Upperton Road approach	Long term (Aspirational)	Eastbourne Borough Council	The Council will consider the	Included in the Council's	Gateway at junction of	Risks: Securing the
Structure:				project as part of	Infrastructure	Upperton Road	required funding/
Approaches	Upper Avenue		East Sussex	the production of a	Delivery Plan	and The Avenue	contributions
	approach		County Council	Public Realm	which will inform		
				Strategy for the	<u>CIL</u>	Gateway at the	Contingencies:
	Seaside and			Town Centre.		Upper Avenue	This is a project
	Marine Parade			Funding is secured	ESCC local	Roundabout	that would offer
	approach			for the strategy	transport capital		benefits in terms of
				which will be	programme	Gateway at	the role and
	King Edward's			produced in the		junction of Marine	function in terms of
	Parade approach			short term phase of	LTP3 surplus on-	Parade, Queen's	the overall
				the TCLP.	street parking	Garden's and	structure of the
				The Orange 11 and 11	<u>revenue</u>	Cavendish Place	Town Centre.
				The Council will	Davidonas	Cotoway	Project planning
				work with ESCC to	Developer	Gateway at	and funding
				initially assess in the medium term	contributions	junction of King Edward's Parade	sources have yet to be fully
				how		and Wilmington	identified. The
				enhancements can		Square adjoining	Council will include
				be made to the		the Wish Tower	the projects within
				approaches over		the Wish Tower	the Public Realm
				and above			Strategy, and
				essential highway			remain alert to
				maintenance			funding streams
				works. Once the			and review public
				assessment has			realm projects and
				been made			priorities
				individual project			accordingly.
				proposals will be			
				scoped with detail			
				design work and			
				costing carried out			

Policy and Project	Location of Project	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks and Contingencies
				in the long term by the Council and ESCC. Long term procurement and delivery will be led by ESCC with funding secured through ESCC and developer contributions from the CIL			

Policy and	Location of	Indicative	Implementation	Delivery strategy	Funding sources	Related projects	Risks and
<u>Project</u>	Project	timescale	lead and key	and issues		and infrastructure	Contingencies
			partners			requirements	
TC2	Gateway at	Long term	Eastbourne	The Council will	Included in the	King Edward's	Risks:
Town Centre	junction of King	(Aspirational)	Borough Council	consider the	Council's	Parade approach	Securing the
Structure:	Edward's Parade			project as part of	Infrastructure		required funding/
Gateways	and Wilmington		East Sussex	the production of a	Delivery Plan	Seaside and	contributions
	Square adjoining		County Council	Public Realm	which will inform	Marine Parade	
	the Wish Tower			Strategy for the	CIL	approach	Contingencies:
				Town Centre.			This is a project
	Gateway at			Funding is secured	ESCC local	Upper Avenue	that would offer
	junction of Marine			for the strategy	transport capital	approach	benefits in terms of
	Parade, Queen's			which will be	programme		the role and
	Garden's and			produced in the			function in terms of
	Cavendish Place			short term phase of	LTP3 surplus on-		the overall
				the TCLP.	street parking		structure of the
	Gateway at the				<u>revenue</u>		Town Centre.
	Upper Avenue			The Council will			Project planning
	Roundabout			work with ESCC to	Developer		and funding
				assess in the	contributions		sources have yet
				medium term how			to be fully
				enhancements can			identified. The
				be made to the			Council will include

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location of Project	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks and Contingencies
				gateways over and above essential highway maintenance works. Once the assessment has been made individual project proposals will be scoped with detailed design work and costing carried out by the Council and ESCC in the long term. Long term procurement and delivery will be led by ESCC with funding secured through future LTP and developer contributions.			the projects within the Public Realm Strategy, and remain alert to funding streams and review public realm projects and priorities accordingly.
TC2 Town Centre Structure: Gateways	Gateway at junction of Upperton Road and The Avenue	Long Term	Eastbourne Borough Council East Sussex County Council Developers	The Council will consider the project as part of the production of a Public Realm Strategy for the Town Centre. Funding is secured for the strategy which will be produced in the	Included in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will inform CIL ESCC local transport capital programme	Upperton Road Approach DO Site Three (Policy TC20) – contributions to be negotiated for enhancements to the gateway space	Risks: Securing the required funding/ contributions Downturn in market conditions preventing necessary funding Contingency:

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location of Project	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks and Contingencies
Project	Project	timescale		short term phase of the TCLP. The Council will work with ESCC to assess in the short term how enhancements can be made to the gateway over and above essential highway maintenance works. Once the assessment has been made project proposals will be scoped with detailed design work and costing carried out by the Council and ESCC in the medium term. Delivery in the long term will be carried out in conjunction with the development of DO Site Three (policy TC20) in partnership with developers/land owners of DO Site Three	LTP3 surplus on- street parking revenue Developer contributions including from DO Site 3.		The Council will include the projects within the Public Realm Strategy and remain alert to funding streams and review public realm projects and priorities accordingly

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location of Project	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
TC2 Town Centre Structure: Gateways	Gateway at railway station and the Terminus Road public transport interchange	Short Term	Refer to Policy TC14	Refer to Policy TC14	Refer to Policy TC14	Refer to Policy TC14	Refer to Policy TC14
TC2 Town Centre Structure: Streets and Spaces	Terminus Road corridor	Whole Plan Period	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	
	Grove Road and South Street	Short Term to Medium Term	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13
	Gildredge Road	Medium Term	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13
	Cornfield Terrace and Cornfield Road	Medium Term	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13
	Seaside Road	Long Term	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13
	Susans Road	Long Term	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13
	Pevensey Road and Lismore Road	Long Term	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13
	Open space at Hyde Gardens	Long Term	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13
	Open space at Memorial Roundabout	Long Term (Aspirational)	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13
	Open spaces at Wilmington	Long Term (Aspirational)	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13	Refer to Policy TC13

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location of Project	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
	Square and the Wish Tower						

Policy and Project	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
TC8 Arts Trail	Grove Road, South Street, Cornfield Terrace, Compton Street and Wilmington Square.	Medium Term	Eastbourne Borough Council Arts Council	In the short term the Council will produce an initial project brief through indentifying and engagement with stakeholders, local businesses and community partners. The project will be incorporated into the review of arts and cultural facilities at Devonshire Park (see Policy TC24). In the medium term design specifications and costings will carried out led by the Council drawing on input from identified partners and stakeholders with implementation in	Included in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will inform CIL LTP3 surplus onstreet parking revenue Developer contributions	Public realm enhancements at Grove Road, South Street and Cornfield terrace (TC13 Public Ream Quality and Priorities)	Risks: Securing the required funding/contributions Securing stakeholder interest and involvement Contingencies: The Council will remain alert to and if appropriate seek to secure other possible external funding streams, for example from the Arts Council

Policy and	Location	Indicative	Implementation	Delivery strategy	Funding sources	Related projects	Risks and
<u>Project</u>		timescale	lead and key	and issues		and infrastructure	<u>Contingencies</u>
			partners			requirements	
				the medium term procured and managed by the Council.			

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
TC13 Public Realm Quality and Priorities: Streets	Terminus Road corridor	Whole Plan Period	Eastbourne Borough Council East Sussex County Council Bus operators Developers	The Council will consider the project as part of the production of a Public Realm Strategy for the Town Centre. Funding is secured for the strategy which will be produced in the short term phase of the TCLP. In the short term the Council will continue ongoing discussions with key stakeholders in scoping out the main elements of the corridor, initially the public transport interchange, the junction with	Included in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will inform CIL ESCC local transport capital programme LTP3 surplus onstreet parking revenue Developer contributions	Policy TC14 Public Transport Interchange Policy TC18 DO Site One – contributions to be negotiated for public transport interchange and enhancements to Ashford Road/Gildredge Road junction Policy TC19 DO Site Two - contributions to be negotiated for enhancements to Grove Road junction Policy TC21 DO Site Four –	Risks: Securing the required funding/ contributions Downturn in market conditions preventing the necessary investment Contingencies: The Council will include the projects within the Public Realm Strategy and remain alert to funding streams and review public realm projects and priorities accordingly.
				Ashford Road/Gildredge		contributions to be negotiated for	

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
			partners	Road, outside the		requirements establishing a	
				railway station and		public space at the	
				proposals for		junction of	
				Cornfield Road		Terminus Road,	
				(new area for bus		Langney Road and	
				stops) and Bolton		Bolton Road	
				Road and the		Dollon Road	
				junction with Trinity		Policy TC22 DO	
				Trees with the aim		Site Five –	
				of producing a		contributions to be	
				design strategy for		negotiated for	
				the entire corridor.		enhancements to	
				This will be done in		Seaside and Trinity	
				the context of		Trees junction	
				emerging Public			
				Realm Guidance			
				being developed			
				by ESCC with input			
				from EBC and			
				other key			
				stakeholders.			
				Individual project			
				proposals will be			
				identified and be			
				subject to detail			
				design work and			
				costing carried out			
				by the Council and			
				ESCC in the short			
				to medium term			
				with delivery			
				funding secured			
				through developer			
				contributions and			
				the adjoining			
				development			

<u>Project</u>	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
			proposals.			
			Implementation will be carried out in			
			phases dependent			
			upon the			
			availability of			
			funding and			
			phasing of			
			associated			
			development			
			proposals, but with			
			the aim of			
			substantial			
			progress being			
			made on the main			
			projects towards			
			the end of the			
			medium term			
			timescale. The			
			remainder of the			
			corridor is likely to			
			be an ongoing longer term project			
			drawing on funding			
			as it becomes			
			available.			
			The Council has			
			been working			
			jointly with East			
			Sussex County			
			Council and the			
			bus companies			
			to improve the			
			pedestrian			
			environment in			
			Terminus Road			

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
				and the Project		•	
				Board has			
				approved the			
				concept design			
				for consultation			
				with the public			
				and stakeholders			
				in the summer			
				of 2013. The			
				scheme is			
				expected to cost			
				in excess of £3			
				million.			
				East Sussex			
				County Council			
				has already			
				committed £2.5			
				million to the			
				project and the			
				Council has			
				allocated			
				£450,000. In			
				addition, the			
				owners of the			
				<u>Arndale</u>			
				Shopping Centre			
				are required to			
				<u>contribute</u>			
				£300,000 to the			
				scheme as part			
				of the proposed			
				extension to the			
				shopping centre.			

15

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
	Grove Road and South Street	Medium Term	Eastbourne Borough Council East Sussex County Council Developers	The Council will consider the project as part of the production of a Public Realm Strategy for the Town Centre. Funding is secured for the strategy which will be produced in the short term phase of the TCLP. The Council will work with ESCC to assess in the short term how enhancements can be made over and above essential highway maintenance works. The junction point at Terminus Road and Grove Road will be implemented as part of works to Terminus Road in conjunction with the public transport interchange (Policy	Included in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will inform CIL ESCC local transport capital programme LTP3 surplus onstreet parking revenue Developer contributions including from DO Site 1 as part of the implementation of the public transport interchange (Policy TC14)	Policy TC8 Arts trail – proposals will be coordinated with this project Policy TC19 DO Site Two – contributions to be negotiated for enhancements to the junction with Grove Road and Terminus Road	Risks: Securing the required funding/ contributions Downturn in market conditions preventing the necessary investment Contingencies: The Council will include the projects within the Public Realm Strategy and remain alert to funding streams and review public realm projects and priorities accordingly.

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
				TC14) for which design and funding is complete.			
				Individual project proposals will be scoped with detail design work and costing carried out in the short to medium term by the Council and ESCC. Delivery will be secured through developer contributions and be procured and managed by the Council and ESCC. Substantial progress towards final implementation and completion will be made towards the end of the medium term			
	Gildredge Road	Medium Term to	Eastbourne	The Council will	Included in the	Policy TC14 Public	Risks:
	Cornfield Terrace and Cornfield Road	Long Term	Borough Council East Sussex County Council	consider the project as part of the production of a Public Realm Strategy for the	Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will inform CIL	Transport Interchange Policy TC18 DO Site One –	Downturn in market conditions preventing the necessary investment
			Developers	Town Centre. Funding is secured	ESCC local	contributions to be negotiated for the	Contingencies:

Policy and Project	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
				for the strategy which will be produced in the short term phase of the TCLP. The Council will work with ESCC to undertake initial assessment work in the medium term of potential enhancements in conjunction with proposals for the public transport interchange (Policy TC14) and wider public realm works along the Terminus Road corridor. These will be over and above essential highway maintenance. Detailed design work and costing will be led by the Council and ESCC in the short term. Procurement and delivery will be led by the Council and ESCC with funding secured through developer	transport capital programme LTP3 surplus onstreet parking revenue Developer contributions including from DO Site 1 as part of the implementation of the public transport interchange (Policy TC14)	public transport interchange and enhancements	The Council will include the projects within the Public Realm Strategy and remain alert to funding streams and review public realm projects and priorities accordingly.

	usans Road	Long Term				requirements	
		Long Term	1	contributions			
an	easide Road evensey Road nd Lismore load		Eastbourne Borough Council East Sussex County Council Developers	contributions The Council will consider the project as part of the production of a Public Realm Strategy for the Town Centre. Funding is secured for the strategy which will be produced in the short term phase of the TCLP. The Council will work with ESCC to assess in the medium term how enhancements can be made to the streets over and above essential highway maintenance works. Once the assessment has been made project proposals will be scoped with detailed design work and costing carried out by the Council and ESCC, in the long term.	Included in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will inform CIL ESCC local transport capital programme LTP3 surplus onstreet parking revenue Developer contributions	Policy TC18 DO Site One	Risks: Downturn in market conditions preventing the necessary investment Contingencies: This is a longer term project that performs a role and function in terms of the overall structure of the Town Centre but for which project planning and funding sources have yet to be fully identified. The Council will include the projects within the Public Realm Strategy and remain alert to funding streams and review public realm projects and priorities accordingly.

Policy and Project	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks and Contingencies
				procurement and delivery will be led by the Council and ESCC with funding secured through developer contributions			

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
TC13 Public Realm Quality and Priorities: Open spaces	Open space at Hyde Gardens	Long Term	Eastbourne Borough Council	The Council will consider the project as part of the production of a Public Realm Strategy for the Town Centre. Funding is secured for the strategy which will be produced in the short term phase of the TCLP. The Council will assess in the medium term how enhancements can be made to the space over and above essential highway maintenance works. Once the assessment has	Included in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will inform CIL ESCC local transport capital programme LTP3 surplus onstreet parking revenue Developer contributions	None	Risks: Securing the required funding/ contributions Potential loss of revenue through limited loss of onstreet parking Contingencies: This is a longer term project that performs a role and function in terms of the overall structure of the Town Centre. The Council will include the project within the Public Realm Strategy and remain alert to funding streams and review public

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
				been made a project proposal will be scoped with detailed design work and costing carried out by the Council and ESCC, also in the medium term. Long term procurement and delivery will be led by the Council and ESCC.			realm projects and priorities accordingly.
	Open space at Memorial Roundabout Open spaces at Wilmington Square and the Wish Tower	Long Term (Aspirational)	Eastbourne Borough Council East Sussex County Council	The Council will consider the project as part of the production of a Public Realm Strategy for the Town Centre. Funding is secured for the strategy which will be produced in the short term phase of the TCLP. The Council will assess in the long term how enhancements can be made to the spaces. Once the assessments have been made a	Included in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will inform CIL ESCC local transport capital programme LTP3 surplus onstreet parking revenue Developer contributions	Public realm improvements	Risks: Downturn in market conditions preventing the necessary investment Contingencies: This is a project that would offer benefits in terms of the role and function in terms of the overall structure of the Town Centre. Project planning and funding sources have yet to be fully identified. The Council will include

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
				project proposals			the projects within
				will be scoped with			the Public Realm
				detailed design			Strategy, and
				work and costing			remain alert to
				carried out by the			funding streams
				Council again in			and review public
				the long term.			realm projects and
				Procurement and			<u>priorities</u>
				delivery will be led			accordingly.
				by the Council and			
				ESCC with funding			
				secured through			
				developer			
				contributions			

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
TC14 Public Transport Interchange	Terminus Road, Cornfield Road and Gildredge Road	Short Term	Eastbourne Borough Council East Sussex County Council Bus Operators Developers Network Rail	In the short term the Council will continue to lead discussions with ESCC, developers, of the Arndale centre, and bus operators on initial project planning, design and layout specifications and costing. Implementation in the short term will be undertaken alongside the development of DO Site One (Policy TC18) managed by the Council and ESCC Detail design work has been completed on the layout, materials and detailing for the public transport interchange and funding has been secured.	Included in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will inform CIL ESCC local transport capital programme LTP3 surplus on- street parking revenue Developer contributions including from DO Site 1	TC13 Public Ream Quality and Priorities: Terminus Road Policy TC18 DO Site One – contributions will be negotiated for the public transport interchange and associated enhancements to Ashford Road/Gildredge Road	None identified as the project is at an advanced design and planning stage with funding in place.

Policy and	Location	Indicative	Implementation	Delivery strategy	Funding sources	Related projects	Risks and
Project	Location	timescale	lead and kev	and issues	i unumg sources	and infrastructure	Contingencies
FIOJECL		unicocaic	icau aliu key	and issues		and minastructure	Contingencies

			partners			requirements	
TC18	Land at the	Short Term	Arndale Centre	Planning	Private sector	TC13 Public Ream	None identified as
Development	junction of		landowners and	permission has		Quality and	the site is in an
Opportunity Site	Terminus Road		agents	been granted for	Developer	Priorities: Terminus	advance stage of
One	and Ashford			an extension to the	contributions to	Road and	design and
	Road		Council	Arndale and the	public realm and	Gildredge Road	planning with
				implementation of	public transport		funding in place.
			Developers	improvements to	infrastructure	TC14 Public	
				the pedestrian	improvements	Transport	The Council will
			East Sussex	environment in		Interchange	continue to
			County Council	Terminus Road will			proactively engage
				be brought forward			with landowners
			Bus operators	in conjunction with			and developers to
				improvements to			bring the site
				the public transport			forward for
				interchange by			<u>implementation</u>
				East Sussex			
				County Council as highway authority			
				and in negotiation			
				with bus operators			
				to ensure			
				continuity of			
				service provision			
				during			
				implementation			
				Implomontation			
				Detail design work			
				has been			
				completed on the			
				layout, materials			
İ				and detailing for			
				the public transport			ļ
				interchange and			
				funding has been			
				secured.			

24

Policy and Project	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
	Land adjoining the railway station	Medium Term	lead and key		Private sector Developer contributions to public realm improvements The Council will remain alert to other possible external funding streams	and infrastructure	
							Development Opportunity Sites, the Council will implement the

				contingency options set out as part of the supporting text to Policy TC17.
				·

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location	Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
TC20 Development Opportunity Site Three	Land between Upperton Road and Southfields Road	Medium Term to Long Term	Private landowners Eastbourne Borough Council as landowner Developers East Sussex County Council	In the short term the Council will continue to pursue discussions with landowners. The former garage premises may come forward as an initial phase. In the medium term the Council will work with landowners and developers contributing to preparation of comprehensive proposals in accordance with Policy TC17 as part of the Council's preapplication development management function ensuring that initial phases of the scheme may	Private sector Developer contributions to public realm improvements The Council will remain alert to other possible external funding streams	TC2 Town Centre Structure: Upperton Road gateway	Risks: Market conditions and viability Relocation of Royal Mail premises To deliver a comprehensive scheme the site has land assembly requirements and will require comprehensive development approach Contingencies: In the event that the overall housing and employment requirements are unlikely to be delivered across the five Development Opportunity Sites, the Council will

	be delivered	implement the
	without prejudicing	contingency
	the development of	options set out as
	the remainder of	part of the
	the site.	supporting text to
		Policy TC17.
	The site is likely to	
	be brought forward	
	in phases with an	
	initial phase	
	delivered in the	
	medium term	
	focused on the	
	Council owned car	
	park and former	
	garage premises.	
	A second phase	
	will be focused on	
	the Royal Mail	
	premises and land	
	holdings	
	dependent upon a	
	review of	
	accommodation	
	requirements by	
	the Royal Mail and	
	suitable	
	replacement	
	premises being	
	provided	
	elsewhere subject	
	to need. This	
	phase will be	
	delivered in the	
	long term	

			partners			requirements	
TC21	Land South East	Long Term	Private landowners	In the medium term	Private sector	TC13 Public Ream	Risks:
Development	of the Arndale			the Council will		Quality and	Site assembly
Opportunity Site	Centre		Developers	pursue discussions	Developer	Priorities: the	
Four				with landowners to	contributions to	junction of	Changes in the
			Eastbourne	further scope and	public realm	Terminus Road	market
			Borough Council	refine development	improvements	and Bolton Road	conditions/viability
				aspirations for the		and the Terminus	
				site. The Council	The Council will	Road corridor	To deliver a
				will also engage	remain alert to		comprehensive
				with adjoining	other possible		scheme the site
				landowners to	external funding		has land assembly
				assess the	streams		requirements and
				potential for			will require
				additional sites to			comprehensive
				be considered as			development
				part of the overall			approach
				proposals.			Dolivonvio
				In the longer term			Delivery is dependent on
				the Council will			private landowners
				work with			private iaridowners
				landowners and			Contingencies:
				developers			In the event that
				contributing to			the overall housing
				preparation of a			and employment
				comprehensive			requirements are
				scheme in			unlikely to be
				accordance with			delivered across
				Policy TC17 as			the five
				part of the			Development
				Council's pre-			Opportunity Sites,
				application			the Council will
				development			implement the
				management			contingency
				function.			options set out as
							part of the
				The site is likely to			supporting text to
				come forward in			Policy TC17.

	phases with an	
	initial phase	
	focused on the	
	frontage site	
	overlooking	
	Terminus Road	
	and a second	
	phase focused on	
	the adjoining	
	frontage site	
	overlooking	
	Langney Road	

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location	Commitment/ Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
TC22 Development Opportunity Site Five	Site of the former Coop, Terminus Road	Short Term	Developers Eastbourne Borough Council	Planning applications for both mixed use development and a hotel use have already approved on the site. The Council will continue discussions in the short term with site owners to bring forward development including any revisions that may be required to the consented scheme. In the short term the Council will work with	Private sector Developer contributions to public realm improvements The Council will remain alert to other possible external funding streams	TC13 Public Ream Quality and Priorities: Terminus Road Corridor – Trinity Trees junction	Risks: Changes in the market conditions/viability Delivery is dependent on private landowners Contingencies: In the event that the overall housing and employment requirements are unlikely to be delivered across the five Development Opportunity Sites, the Council will implement the contingency options set out as

	landowners and	part of the
	developers	supporting text to
	contributing to a	Policy TC17.
	comprehensive	
	scheme in	
	accordance with	
	Policy TC17 as	
	part of the	
	Council's	
	development	
	management	
	function	

Policy <u>and</u> <u>Project</u>	Location	Commitment/ Indicative	Implementation lead and key	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
TC23 Transition Areas (as identified on TCLP Figure 1)	1) Seaside Road and Terminus Road East 2) Station Street and Mark Lane	timescale Whole plan period	Eastbourne Borough Council Private landowners	Through the Council's development management function redevelopment proposals and changes of use will be considered having regard to the requirements of Policy TC23 ensuring effective application of TCLP policies	Private sector Related infrastructure improvements are set out in the Council's IDP and will inform the CIL	requirements TC13 Public Realm Quality and Priorities: Seaside Road, Terminus Road	Risks: Changes in the market impacting on the viability of proposals Involvement of landowners and developers Contingencies: None identified.

Policy and Project	Location	Commitment/ Indicative timescale	Implementation lead and key partners	Delivery strategy and issues	Funding sources	Related projects and infrastructure requirements	Risks <u>and</u> <u>Contingencies</u>
TC24 Potential	1) International	Long Term and	Eastbourne	In the short the	Private sector	Policy TC8 Arts	Risks:
Areas of Change	Lawn Tennis	Aspirational	Borough Council	term the Council is		trail - proposals	Changes in the
	Centre	-		undertaking a	Related	will be coordinated	market impacting

	Developers	review of facilities	infrastructure	with this project	on the viability of
2) Land at	Developers	at Devonshire Park		with this project	
Langney Road		and will consider	improvements are set out in the	TC13 Public Realm	proposals
and Pevensey		its assets and the	Council's IDP and	Quality and	Involvement of
Road		future role and	will inform the CIL		landowners and
Road		function of the area	will inform the CIL	Priorities – open	
				space at	developers
		as part of that		Wilmington Square	Cantinganaiaa
		review. Proposals		and the Wish	Contingencies:
		for the Arts Trail		Tower	None identified
		will also be			
		developed as part			
		of the review,			
		particularly			
		examining the			
		potential to better			
		connect the area			
		with other parts of			
		the Town Centre.			
		In the medium and			
		longer term the			
		Council will set out			
		options for			
		enhancing the role			
		and function of the			
		area with the aim			
		of maintaining it as			
		an arts and leisure			
		focus for the Town			
		Centre.			
		Opportunities for			
		enhancing the			
		open space at			
		Wilmington Square			
		and the Wish			
		Tower as part of a			
		wider and			
		coordinated offer			
		will also be			
		assessed.			

		At Langney Road and Pevensey Road the Council will consider the potential for enhancing the role and function of this part of the Town Centre as and when development proposals come forward. In the medium term the Council will develop a strategy		
		develop a strategy		
		for this part of the Town Centre in consultation with		
		land owners and stakeholders.		

Monitoring framework

- 6.7 Monitoring of policies and results is given considerable weight under the Local Plan system. Policies should be worded so that they are capable of being monitored to show the effects of their implementation. The Council produces a Local Plan Monitoring Report to review progress in the previous financial year against the targets and timeframes set out in the Local Development Scheme (LDS). This can inform whether the LDS needs revising. In addition the Monitoring Report sets out how well Local Plan documents and policies are performing in helping to achieve the Councils targets and objectives.
- The table below sets out the framework against which the policies in the TCLP will be monitored. Each policy has targets and indicators which provide the basis for the monitoring framework. This will be reported as part of the Council's Monitoring Report. Monitoring the policies will reveal whether the policies and proposals in the TCLP are being successfully implemented and whether the Council's Vision for the Town Centre is being achieved by the policies and proposals. The Monitoring Report will demonstrate the effectiveness of the TCLP and whether it needs to be reviewed at any point during its lifetime.
- 6.9 The objectives for the TCLP are set out in Section 2 along with the Vision.

Table 3: Monitoring Framework

TCLP Policy	TCLP Objectives	Target	Indicators	Monitoring Date
TC1 Character Areas (i) Arts District (ii) Business District (iii) Retail District (iv) Seafront (v) Little Chelsea (vi) Northern District (vii) Cavendish	1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11	Improve identity and legibility of Town Centre, through the identification of 7 character areas Provide a complementary mix of uses in each area that support and strengthen its overall character of each area	Tracking performance against Implementation of a wayfinding strategy within the next 5 years	Annual
TC2 Town Centre Structure	1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12	Secure investment for key approaches, gateways, streets and public spaces Ensure key approaches gateways, streets and public spaces within or in close proximity to Development Opportunity Sites are included in development proposals	Amount of financial contributions received from town centre schemes towards approaches, gateways, streets and public spaces Number and size of public realm enhancement projects implemented comprising approaches, gateways, streets and public spaces (i) within the	• Annual

TCLP Policy	TCLP Objectives	Target	Indicators	Monitoring Date
			Town Centre and (ii) linked to Development Opportunity Sites	
TC3 Mixed Use Development	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	Increase proportion of mixed use as part of major development proposals in the Town Centre	Number of planning applications approved and implemented for mixed use development schemes compared against other single use developments per annum in the Town Centre Amount of new retail, residential and employment approved and implemented on development and redevelopment sites, compared against local targets in the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan	• Annual
TC4 Primary Retail Area	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11	Maintain dominance of A1 retail uses within the primary retail area, compared to A2, A3, A4 and other non-retail uses. 90% in Arndale Shopping Centre 75% in other Primary Shopping Areas No more than three consecutive units are in A2, A3, A4 or other non-retail use	 Proportion of non-A1 retail uses present in Primary Retail frontages, compared to policy requirement Number of instances where there are more than three consecutive uses in A2, A3 or A4 use, against a target of 0 	• Annual
TC5 Secondary Retail Areas	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	Maintain dominance of small and independent retail uses within the secondary retail areas Resist the amalgamation of single units into larger retail units Ensure at least 65% of frontages are within A1 retail use	Proportion of non-A1 retail uses present in Secondary Retail frontages, compared to policy requirement	Annual
TC6 Residential Development in the Town Centre	1, 6	Secure increase in well designed new residential	Annual delivery of net residential units, compared	Annual

TCLP Policy	TCLP Objectives	Target	Indicators	Monitoring Date
		development that accord with the design criteria of the policy Provide a range of dwelling sizes (from 1 to 3 bedroom) and tenures within the Town Centre Deliver 1190 net units in the Town Centre over the next 15 year period (2012-2027)	 against Town Centre target Number of new dwellings negotiated and approved in accordance with TCLP design criteria. 	
TC7 Supporting the Evening and Night-time Economy	1, 6, 7, 8	Increasing the diversity and offer of the evening economy through new A3 and A4 premises in key locations	Number of new A3 and A4 businesses approved in each of the evening economy key locations where an application for planning permission or change of use is approved	Annual
TC8 Arts Trail	1, 8, 9, 11	Enhance legibility and presence of the Arts District and improve awareness of independent retailing through design and implementation of an Arts Trail	Tracking performance against Implementation of an arts trail within the next 5 years	Annual
TC9 Development Quality	1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 12	Enhance the design quality of new buildings and development proposals Ensure key historic buildings and areas of historic value are respected	Assessed having regard to the appraisals within the delegated/committee report	Annual
TC10 Building Frontages and Elevations	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 12	Ensure that the design of building elevations in the Town Centre have clearly defined structure in accordance with the policy	Assessed having regard to the appraisals within the delegated/committee report	Annual
TC11 Building Heights, Landmarks, and Tall Buildings	1, 6, 8, 10, 12	Control building heights in the Town Centre	Monitor number of applications consented for buildings over 5 storeys in height in the Town Centre Assessed having regard to the appraisals within the delegated/committee report	Annual
TC12 Servicing, Access and Storage	3, 6, 10	Ensure the design and layout of development is operationally	Assessed having regard to the appraisals within the	Annual

TCLP Policy	TCLP Objectives	Target	Indicators	Monitoring Date
		and visually acceptable having regard to TCLP policy criteria	delegated/committee report	
TC13 Public Realm Quality and Priorities	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12	Bring forward prioritised enhancements to the Town Centre public realm	 Number of public realm projects designed and implemented in the Town Centre compared against the 10 schemes identified in TC13 Track progress of the 10 schemes identified above on an annual basis 	Annual
TC14 Public Transport Interchange	1, 2, 9, 12	Enhancing the environmental quality and operational efficiency of the bus interchange to underpin attractiveness, vitality and viability of the Town Centre	 Tracking performance against Implementation of enhancements to bus interchange facilities within the next 5 years Improvements to timetable efficiency monitored with bus operator (working closely with the bus operator) 	Annual
TC15 Parking in the Town Centre	1, 2, 9, 11	Maximise utilisation of existing facilities to underpin attractiveness, vitality and viability of the Town Centre	Record level of car parking usage in existing car parking facilities across the Town Centre Secure contributions towards Car Park Information System Track progress of establishing a Quality Parking Partnership as recommended in the Council's Parking Strategy	Annual
TC16 Town Centre Streets	1, 2, 6, 10, 11	Improve accessibility and appearance of key streets principally for pedestrians and public transport users in accordance with TCLP policy criteria	Number, size and length of key street public realm projects designed and implemented in the Town Centre in accordance with TCLP policy criteria	Annual
TC17 Strategic Approach to Town Centre Development Sites TC17 Master Planned Approach to Town Centre Development Sites	1, 2, 3, 6, 10, 11, 12	 Ensure that all major development proposals are implemented in accordance with an approved master plan Ensure overall level of housing development is achieved in total across all Development 	Number of planning applications approved with a master plan on either Development Opportunity Sites or other major development or redevelopment sites that come forward within the Town Centre	Annual

TCLP Policy	TCLP Objectives	Target	Indicators	Monitoring Date
		Opportunity Sites (450 net units and 3,000sqm of B1(a) office.)	Tracked annual target against delivery of 450 net residential units and 3,000sqm of B1(a) office on the Development Opportunity Sites	
TC18 Development Opportunity Site One	1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11	Secure mixed use development in accordance with the approved master plan	Net increase in floorspace on the DO site contributing to Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan requirements	Annual
TC19 Development Opportunity Site Two	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11	Secure mixed use development in accordance with the approved master plan submitted as part of the planning application in accordance with Policy TC17	Net increase in floorspace on the DO site contributing to Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan requirements	Annual
TC20 Development Opportunity Site Three	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11	Secure mixed use development in accordance with the approved master plan submitted as part of the planning application in accordance with Policy TC17	Net increase in floorspace on the DO site contributing to Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan requirements	Annual
TC21 Development Opportunity Site Four	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 11	Secure mixed use development in accordance with the approved master plan submitted as part of the planning application in accordance with Policy TC17	Net increase in floorspace on the DO site contributing to Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan requirements	Annual
TC22 Development Opportunity Site Five	1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11	Secure mixed use development in accordance with the approved master plan submitted as part of the planning application in accordance with Policy TC17	Net increase in floorspace on the DO site contributing to Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan requirements	Annual
TC23 Transition Areas	1, 2, 6, 8, 11	Secure an enhanced mix of uses Ensure there are not 3 consecutive A5 uses within Transition Area One. Ensure enhancements to the public realm and implementation of a wayfinding	Amount of net additional floorspace and dwellings developed Tracking performance against Implementation of a wayfinding strategy within the next 5 years Number of instances where there are three consecutive	Annual

TCLP Policy	TCLP Objectives	Target	Indicators	Monitoring Date
		strategy Deliver net additional dwellings within the Transition Areas, particular above ground floor level	uses in A5 use	
TC24 Potential Areas of Change	1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 11	Give specific guidance to potential future development proposals in accordance with an approved master plan Widen the tourism, cultural and conference offer associated with Devonshire Park Complex Secure a mix of uses and support redevelopment of Langney Road and Pevensey Road area	Amount of net additional floorspace development and in the case of land at Langney Road and Pevensey Road number of dwellings developed Provision of additional tourism and culture offer in Devonshire Park with no net loss in floorspace Submission of planning application at the Devonshire Park complex monitor increase in mix of uses within Langney/Pevensey Road Submission of a planning application to widen the tourism, cultural and conference officer associated with the site.	• Annual

GLOSSARY

Active Frontage

A building frontage with entrances and windows that overlook the public realm and generate activity, overlooking, and therefore contributing to safety on the street.

Affordable Housing

Housing for sale or rent at a price level below the going market rate, and which is related to the ability to pay of those identified as being in 'housing need'. The definition is commonly expressed in the following ways: social housing; intermediate housing; low cost home ownership including shared ownership and shared equity schemes; all of which are related to income and affordability.

Anchor

This is a retail store or other town centre use that provides an important destination and focus for shoppers and users of the Town Centre. An anchor can establish a reason for people to visit a particular location particularly if it is already on or close to a busy street or pedestrian route.

BREEAM (Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method)

Assesses the environmental impact of office, retail, school and industrial buildings, whilst EcoHomes measures the impact of housing on the environment, on a scale of "Pass", "Good", "Very Good" and "Excellent".

CABE

Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment, now part of the Arts Council, is the Government's advisor on design matters.

Conservation Area

An area of special architectural and/or historic interest. The character and appearance pf which the Council aims to preserve or enhance.

Developer Contribution

(see Planning Obligations)

Development Management Policies

A set of criteria based policies to assess planning applications against.

Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan

A development document that sets out the long term strategic planning vision for Eastbourne.

Examination

All Development Plan Documents must be examined before an independent Planning Inspector. The Inspector will consider all representations made in writing or at a public inquiry. Following the examination the Inspector will report his/her findings which will be binding upon the Council.

Evidence Base

The researched, documented, analysed and verified basis for all the components of a Local Development Framework.

Green Roofs and Green Walls

Parts of a building designed to allow particular species of plants to grow. This can help create habitats for wildlife and reduce the need for rainwater pipes and gutters.

LDD (Local Development Document)

Local Development Documents are a number of planning documents that together form the local Development Framework for an area.

Local Plan LDF (Local Development Framework)

This comprises a portfolio of Local Development Documents that provide a framework for delivering the spatial planning strategy for the area.

LDS (Local Development Scheme)

The LDS sets out the programme for the preparation of Local Development Documents. All plan making authorities must submit a Local Development Scheme to the Secretary of State for approval..

Planning Obligation (and / or a Section 106 agreement)

A legal undertaking given to a Council by a developer who is seeking planning permission for a development. It can be used to regulate or restrict the use of land, require the carrying out of specific actions or require payments to be made to the planning authority.

Primary Retail Frontage and Secondary Retail Frontage

Primary retail frontage are areas in the town centre with the best quality retail and shopping environment normally associated with high street shops and larger shops. Secondary retail frontages are areas of the town characterised by smaller shops with independent retailers and more local businesses.

Public Realm

Those areas in cities and towns that are visible, usable and accessible by the public.

Representations

This is the term for objections and comments in support of a local development document, received during public consultation.

SCI (Statement of Community Involvement)

Document explaining to stakeholders and the community how they can be involved in the preparation of the LDF Local Plan, and the steps that will be taken to facilitate their involvement.

Sustainability Appraisal (SA)

This is a mandatory process under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and is used to promote sustainable development through the integration of social, environmental and economic considerations into DPDs and SPDs.

Sustainable Development

A widely used definition drawn up by the World Commission on Environment and Development in 1987: "Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their needs." The government has set out four aims for sustainable development in its strategy A Better Quality of Life, a Strategy for Sustainable Development in the UK. The four aims, to be achieved simultaneously, are: social progress which recognises the needs of everyone; effective protection of the environment; prudent use of natural resources; and maintenance of high and stable levels of economic growth and employment.

Special Delivery Vehicle

A partnership arrangement between organisations to help bring forward a regeneration project.

Town Centre Local Plan AAP (Area Action Plan) (TCLP)

A development document used to provide a planning framework for areas of significant change or conservation.

Townscape

The general appearance of a built-up area, for example a street, a town or city.

Wayfinding

A network of signposts or maps that help people find their way around the town.